UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/830,175	04/22/2004	David I. Houlding	92717-00363USPT	7727	
61060 WINSTEAD PO	7590 08/18/200 C	9	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5078		HARRELL, ROBERT B			
DALLAS, TX 7	7.5201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2442		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/830,1	75	HOULDING ET A	HOULDING ET AL.			
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Robert B.		2442				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Preply	tion appears on the	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no everation. Only period will apply and we by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIC ent, however, may a reply be t ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror lication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 22 Anril 2009						
-		☐ This action is r	on-final					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
-	-		d or b)□ objected to t	by the Examiner.				
. • / 🗖	10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 April 204</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	гатент аррисатіоп				
•	- 							

Application/Control Number: 10/830,175

Page 2

Art Unit: 2442

- 1. Claims 1-26 remain presented for examination.
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The claims also are directed to the use of a core product.
- 3. The applicant should always use this period for response to thoroughly and very closely proof read and review the whole of the application for correct correlation between reference numerals in the textual portion of the Specification and Drawings along with any minor spelling errors, general typographical errors, accuracy, assurance of proper use for Trademarks TM, and other legal symbols ®, where required, and clarity of meaning in the Specification, Drawings, and specifically the claims (i.e., *provide proper antecedent basis for "the" and "said" within each claim*) with each claim ending in a period. Minor typographical errors could render a Patent unenforceable and so the applicant is strongly encouraged to aid in this endeavor.
- 4. The applicant's 22 April 2009 remarks directed to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) has bee fully considered but deemed moot in view of the following.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language;
- 6. <u>Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b)</u> as being anticipated by Kinyon et al. (United States Patent Number: US 7,254,624 B2).
- 7. Prior to addressing the grounds of the rejections below, should this application ever be the subject of public review by third parties not so versed with the technology (i.e., access to IFW through Public PAIR (as found on http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair)), this Office action will usually refer an applicant's attention to relevant and helpful elements, figures, and/or text upon which the Office action relies to support the position taken. Thus, the following citations are neither all-inclusive nor all-exclusive in nature as the whole of the reference is cited and relied upon in this action as part of the substantial evidence of record. Also, no temporal order was claimed for the acts and/or functions.

Art Unit: 2442

- 8. Per claim 1, Kinyon taught a system (e.g., see Title) for at least one of specializing, replacing, and adding services of a service oriented architecture (e.g., see Abstract), the system comprising:
 a) a core product (e.g., see Abstract "base configuration file", figure 2, and col. 8 (line 15-et seq.)), comprising core code, the core product being for use by more than one customer;
- b) at least one hardware server (e.g., see figure 1 (28)), the at least one hardware server providing a framework for customizing the core product to create a customized core product (e.g., see Abstract "session configuration file"), the customized core product meeting a service need of at least one specific customer that is not met by the core product (e.g., see Abstract and col. 8 (line 15-et seq.)) wherein the core product comprises a plurality of existing service implementations (e.g., see figure 2 and col. 8 (line 15-et seq.)), wherein the at least one hardware server utilizes the framework to create the customized core product (e.g., see Abstract "session configuration file"), by at least one of:
- bi) specializing at least one of the plurality of existing service implementations (e.g., see figure 2 and col. 8 (line 15-et seq.));
- bii) replacing at least one of the plurality of existing service implementations (e.g., see figure 2 and col. 8 (line 15-et seq.)); and,
- biii) adding a new service implementation (e.g., see figure 2 and col. 8 (line 15-et seq.)); and,
- c) wherein the customized core product includes and functionally utilizes the core product in its unaltered form along with any specialized, replacing, or added service implementations (e.g., see figure 2 and col. 8 (line 15-et seq.)); and,
- d) wherein the at least one of specializing, replacing, and adding comprises generation of new code based on the service need of the at least one specific customer (e.g., see Abstract, figure 2 and col. 8 (line 15-et seq.)).
- 9. In summary, Kinyon taught adjusting a core product ("base configuration file") by either specializing, replacing, or adding new configuration attributes to produce a new customized core product ("session configuration file").
- 10. Per claim 2, claim 3, claim 4, claim 5, claim 6, claim 7, claim 8, claim 9, claim 10, claim 11, claim 23, claim 25, and claim 26, see figures 6-15 (for XML, middle ware such as Web Services (the claims recite "at least one of"), the display interfaces for "client proxy stubs") and also col. 8 (line 15-et seq.) which covers the automatic creation of a new custom service implementation to replace the old including all the recited claimed limitations with use of standardized formats (templates) since the base configuration file is the standardize file from which new session configuration files are formed by the system.
- 11. Per claims 12-22, and claim 24 these claims do not teach or defined above the correspondingly rejected claims given above, and are thus rejected for the same reasons given above.

Art Unit: 2442

- 12. The applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 13. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert B. Harrell whose telephone number is (571) 272-3895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell, can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for all papers is (571) 273-8300.
- 16. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Robert B. Harrell/ ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 2442